Approved For Release 2000/08/23 : CIA-R 2000/08/23 A000100120015-5

Chief, Economic Research Area, ORR

24 November 1959

Chief, Industrial Division, CRR

25X1A9a

12 Hovember Proposal of The Creation of a Senior Flamming and Review Board in ERA

25X1A9a

discussion of important ERA procedures and practices. The reising of these questions is highly desirable if the area is to continue to adapt to changing responsibilities and to benefit from its and past experience.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

descentrates a disturbing misapprobansion of the operation of the division offices and the office of the Chief of Economic Research. If waterstanding of how and why these things are done is typical of the senior personnel in the ERA, then his memorandum, sport from the merits of its immediate proposals, indicates strongly the need for greater education of ERA personnel on what we do, why we do it, and how it is done.

# 3. The Proposed Senior Pleaning and Raview Board

The division chimis, through the medium of the ERA staff meeting, already constitute an informal advisory board. Individually and collectively they assist Chief, ERA in the making of ERA policy as a whole, the planning of the remearch program, the review and coordination of finished research, relations with consultants, the headling of personnel problems, and other matters. On subjects of common concern, such as problems, and other matters. On subjects of common concern, such as problems one or more division of information of information and already exists. In the following paragraphs reference is made to the use of this mechanism for the purposes recommended by

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

#### 4. Over-all Research Planning

The ERA research program is presently generated in the branches, with guidance from the division chiefs. The program is subject to the review and approval of Chief, ERA. No formal effort is now made to give a compon theme to the program for any year. Advance discussion by the ERA staff meeting in order to assist thief, ERA in the development of guide lines for the uses of the

Approved For Release 2000/08/23 : CIA-RIS 15 10 23 A000100120015-5

25X1A9a

SUBJECT: 12 Movember Proposal of Manual Subject States of the Subject States of the Subject States of the Subject Subj

branches in program planning is a good idea and should be adopted in the planning of the FY of program. Branches should continue to coordinate proposed projects with other components in accordance with present practice. The draft program for the area should be submitted to the ERA staff meeting for discussion and communt. Final approval should follow review by Chief, ERA, as at present.

#### 5. Torse of Reference for NIK's

Formal consultation with CHE on terms of reference is impractical and of no great importance. Informal consultation is already the practice and in most cases need not concern the division chiefs as a group because of the comprehensive nature of CHE's terms of reference. Terms of reference for the HMA contributions to area-wide estimates are often coordinated informally after discussion at various levels, including that of the area staff meeting. This kind of discussion should be further encourages.

### 6. The Review of Finished Research

#### a. Responsibility

As operating head of the division it is the responsibility of the division chief to review its product, regardless of whether it is a contribution to an NIE, an NIS, or other major project. Therefore the proposal to bypass this review is inconsistent with good administration. The interest of other components in certain projects is presently recognized and provided for by coordination procedures, although churification of those procedures would be wardswhile.

#### b. HIE's

25X1A9a

eppears to be primarily concerned with the coordination of MIE's, to which it appears his branch delicates a major part of its effort. Obviously unhappy about the present method of reviewing NIE's, he proposes to transfer this mesponsibility from the action division to the division chiefs callectively. Youring this problem in terms of the activities of his own branch he fails to recognize that:

25X1A9a

SUBJECT: 12 Rovember Proposal of Creation of a Senior Planning and Review Board in 18A

- (1) Many MIE contributions are of less than area wide interest (11-2, 11-5, 11-8, 11-60).
- (2) The branch contributions to the major NIE's | 11-4 and 13-59) are so bulky and detailed as to tax the time, interest, and competence of the division chiefs.
- (3) The division chiefs have the apportunity to review contributions originating in or coming into their own divisions and to hear the views of their analysts in defense of their contributions.
- (h) As a rule the division chiefs new consult extensively over the parts of draft contributions in which they have an interest.
- (5) Drafts of the coordinated contributions (the IP's) are circulated widely in NRA for division and branch comment.
- (6) The ERA staff meeting is available as a vehicle in which to discuss the IP. This medium could be used to greater effect to discuss HIR contributions of area-side interest.

#### c. Brench Initiated Projects 25X1A9a

initiated RR and RA type of report, it should be noted that most of these projects are primarily of imberest to the division in which they originate. It is therefore the responsibility of this division chief to review such projects. Experience shows that most breach projects benefit greatly from divisional review. It is at this point that the analyst should and generally does have the opportunity to defend his project. It is difficult to believe that manufactured intends that such 25X1A9a reports be circulated simultaneously to the division chiefs prior to review by the chief of the originating division. When such a report ameerus more than one division, coor ination can be headled as proposed above for other projects affecting the interest of more than one breach.

Approved For Release 2000/08/23 : CIA-ROPE 231A000100120015-5

25X1A9a

SUBJECT: 12 November Proposal of Creation of a Senior Planning and Service Board in ERA

### 7. Operational Responsibility for the Branches

The suggestion that the division chiefs be relieved of operating responsibilities in order to serve as a substantive review board has already been disposed of since it has been indicated that by and large they are now performing review and consultative functions in the areas of their interest and responsibility and in fact do committee an mivincey group to Chief, ERA. The question of whether the division chiefs therefore reader a useful function in relation to the branches can therefore be estertained on its own merits. Do the branches require the degree and quality of supervision which they now receive from the division chiefe? On the score of the review of the branch personnel, the guidance of breach planning, the complexity of branch personnel problems, and muserous other subjects, it is clear that the branches need at least the degree of supervision which they proceedly receive from their division chiefs. Is it conceivable within the principles of administration that Chief, EBA, the review board, and the central staffs can provide equally effective supervision ever a span of control multiplied many times its present size? Perhaps relie! from operating responsibility might in some respects appear attractive to the present division chiefs. It should be remembered, however, that spart from their native competence, which is und subtedly high, their value for review purposes derives from their substantive kneeledge as coverating heads of functional divisions and will diminish rapidly if they are relieved of these responsibilities. The suggestion that the divisional deputies could administer the divisions ignores the fact that for the most part the deputies are also substantive. Their current role is not merely ensentive in mature, but consists of sharing in the substantive direction of the research program of their division. Pinally, the withering every of the divisions would overwhelm Chief, EMA with administrative problems and deprive him of the substantive support which he now receives from the division chiefs as well-briefed operating heads of their functional organizations.

## 8. Conclusions and Recommendations

- a. Measures be taken to better acquaint ERA personne! with the procedures for the planning and review of the research program.
- b. It is unmessary to create a new Planning and Review Board since the ERA staff meeting now performs many of the

# Approved For Release 2000/08/23 : CIA-RDP62801231A000100120015-5

25X1A9a

SUBJECT: 12 Hovember Proposal of Creation of a Senior Flanning and Review Roard in ERA

functions that would be assigned to the proposed board.

- c. It is suggested that the ERA staff meeting be used more advantageously to:
  - (1) Advise Chief, ERA in the establishing of periodic guide lines for the use in program planning.
  - (2) Discuss the draft of the annual ERA research program before final approval by Chief, ERA.
  - (3) Consider informally ERA terms of reference for area-wide projects.
  - (4) Discuss area-wide contributions to Mational Estimates prior to their approval by Chief, ERA.
  - d. Of the divisions it may be said:
  - (1) Good administrative practice requires that the division chiefs continue to review the research product of their own division.
  - (2) Transfer of operating responsibility over the branches from the division chiefs to Chief, ERA and St/A would overextend the span of control and is contrary to good administrative practice.
  - (3) The substantive competence of the division thiefs depends on their continuing to have operational responsibility for their branches.

25X1A9a

Distribution:

Orig. and 3 Addressee 1 D/I Chrono

25X1A9a

ORR/D/I sjs/4058 (24 November 1959)